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Abstract – The operation of legacy LVAC distribution cables under DC is considered in this work. The electric field distribution in cable insulation under DC voltage is governed by the electrical conductivity of the material unlike the AC case where it is dependent on the permittivity of the materials. Temperature, water ingress and chemical ageing can increase the conductivity of the insulation. A good insulator should exhibit the least conductivity possible in order to minimise the current flowing through the material. Variations in insulation properties leading to reduced uniformity could cause local elevated stresses in the insulating material which could lead to cable failure. This paper shows how the electric field distribution in typical LVAC cables changes as the conductivity of the insulation is altered due to changes in temperature and electric field when operated under DC. A 4-core Paper Insulated Lead Covered (PILC) belted cable is simulated in COMSOL Multiphysics both under AC and DC conditions and the results are compared.

I. INTRODUCTION

This work is part of the ‘Top and Tail Project’, a collaborative project funded by the EPSRC Grand Challenge Programme. The project is focused on the physical infrastructure change in energy networks required to move the UK to a low carbon economy necessary to achieve the Government’s target of reducing CO2 emissions by 2050. The project is divided into two parts, the ‘Top’ and the ‘Tail’, and intended to be high risk and long-term in nature. The ‘Top’ focuses on the Transmission part of the network whereas the ‘Tail’ focuses on the last mile of the Distribution network. This work reported here is part of the ‘Tail’.

The purpose of this work is to consider the feasibility of operating existing LVAC underground cables under DC. Using the existing infrastructure is potentially more economical and less time consuming than relaying new cables in urban environments. Studies have shown that the use of DC in the distribution network can substantially increase the power transfer in the network [1]. This assumes that the cables will operate as reliably under DC as they do under AC.

The power capacity of the present LVAC distribution network is limited by the voltage of the system. Increasing the current is not the best solution since there will be an increase in the heat produced thus increasing the losses in the system. The insulation in an AC cable is rated at the peak operating voltage. In a typical 230 Vrms AC system the peak voltage is 325 Vpeak. A question is raised from this: “Could the system be reliable at 325 VDC?” Extensive research has been carried out on HVAC and HVDC cables and the breakdown mechanisms are relatively well known. LVAC cables do not exhibit the breakdown phenomena present under high voltages and they are known to be very reliable. As a result little research has been carried out. A key difference between the AC and DC cases is that the electric field distribution under AC depends on the permittivities of the dielectrics whereas under DC it depends on the conductivity of the materials [2]. As a result, water ingress presents different issues in AC and DC cables since water changes both the permittivity and the conductivity. Conductivity is also more affected by changes in temperature and the local electric field in the insulation and so must also be considered for DC cables.

The most common paper insulated cable used in the UK distribution network is the 4-core PILC BS6480 cable [3]. The cable is rated at 600/1000 V (phase to ground/ phase to phase). FEM simulations using COMSOL are used in this work to analyse how the electric field behaves in the cable under DC. The Joule heating module is used to calculate the heat generated due to the resistance of the cable. The Electric circuit module is coupled with the Joule heating model to provide a means of changing the load for each core in the cable. Electric field stress distribution is compared for both AC and DC under normal conditions as well as in the presence of a gas filled voids and moisture.

II. MODELLING OF THE CABLE

The structure of the cable is shown in Figure 1. The cable consists of four copper conductors, three for the live AC phases and one for neutral. Paper insulation is applied to all conductors and, in the case of this belted design, an extra layer of insulation exists around all conductors. The lead sheath is usually grounded.

Figure 1 - 4-core (600/1000 V) paper insulated cable mesh
Covering the lead sheath there is a layer of either a steel wire or tape armour used to provide stiffness and protection to the cable. The last layer of the cable is the oversheath (jacket) which is usually made of PVC or bitumen. The neutral is separated from the earth which usually is connected to the sheath and armour wires.

In this model the effect of strand geometry is not included, and the field is discussed in areas of greatest geometrical uniformity in the insulation so that the impact of dielectric properties can be easily considered. Careful planning was carried out to determine the minimum number of elements required to obtain sufficiently accurate results and 80,000 elements were used for meshing the initial model. After the introduction of a void into the model insulation material, manual meshing was used to eliminate rough edges on interfaces which could falsely suggest an enhanced local field. Roughly 100,000 elements were used for the void model and around 160,000 where used for the introduction of moisture. Table 1 show the material properties used in the simulation and Table 2 the domain parameters.

The copper conductors in the cable are stranded but for simplification the electrical conductivity of copper was reduced to compensate for the packing factor. Convective cooling was applied on the outermost surface of the cable. Depending on the study, different voltage levels we applied on the outermost surface of the cable. Depending on the study and are discussed further on. Table 1 show the material properties used in the introduction of moisture.

| Table 1 - Material properties used in the simulation |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | Copper          | Steel           | PVC             | Paper insulation| Lead sheath     | Air             | Water           |
| Electrical Cond. | 6.0x10⁷         | 4.0x10⁷         | 1.0x10¹⁰        | 1.0x10⁻¹⁰       | 4.6x10⁷        | 5.0x10⁻¹⁰       | 5.5x10⁻⁶        |
| Thermal Cond.    | 400             | 44.5            | 0.10            | 0.11            | 35             | 0.025           | 0.060           |
| Heat Capacity    | 385             | 475             | 2300            | 1200            | 130            | 1012            | 4181            |
| Relative permittivity | 1.0          | 1.0             | 8.0             | 3.6             | 1.0            | 1.0             | 80              |
| Density          | 8700            | 7850            | 1760            | 1200            | 11340          | 1.184           | 1000            |
| Heat transfer coefficient | 7             |                 |                 |                 |                |                 |                 |

Table 2 - Domain parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nominal area of each conductor</td>
<td>130 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conductor insulation thickness</td>
<td>0.7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulation belt thickness</td>
<td>0.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheath thickness</td>
<td>1.7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedding thickness</td>
<td>1.4 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armour thickness</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oversheath thickness</td>
<td>2.3 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

III. DC CONDUCTIVITY

The electrical conductivity depends on the temperature and the electric field in the insulation [4]. Equation 1 is an empirical formula relating the conductivity to temperature and electric field where \( k_s \) is the specific electrical conductivity at 0ºC and zero field, \( T \) is the temperature difference from 0ºC (\( T_1 - 273 \)) in K, \( T_f \) is the local insulation temperature in K, \( E \) is the electric field in kV/mm, \( a \) is the temperature coefficient of conductivity and \( \beta \) is the electric field strength coefficient of conductivity.

\[
k(\delta, |E|) = k_s \exp(a \cdot T) \exp(|\beta| \cdot E) \tag{1}
\]

This formula was entered as a conductivity expression in the FEA tool. Values from the literature of \( a \) and \( \beta \) were assumed to be 0.1 and 0.03 respectively [5].

IV. ELECTRIC FIELD DISTRIBUTION IN THE CABLES

Other parameters that can affect the electric field distribution are the geometry of the cable and/or any imperfections present in the insulation. Given a uniform conductivity, the highest electric field should be close to the conductor rather than the sheath. In this study the model was coupled to circuit physics. This module allowed simulation of the current flowing through each conductor which was fed back to the Joule Heating module. Conductors 1, 2 and 4 were run at 265 A each. The maximum temperature observed was 57.4 ºC at the cores. The sheath temperature was 54 ºC creating a temperature gradient of 3.4 ºC. Figure 2 shows the cutline used to plot the graph in Figure 3. A field inversion occurs at around 6000 seconds. After that the highest electric field is adjacent to the sheath rather than the conductor. At a temperature gradient of just 3.4 ºC the largest variation of electric field was observed to be an increase of around 75 V/m/mm between conductor and sheath with the average being 270 V/m/mm. Stranded conductors would enhance the field locally and the highest field would be at conductor edges but this would not change the fact that under DC the field will be higher at several points due to the inversion effect compared to AC.

Different loading conditions on each conductor could increase the temperature gradient but given such a small thickness it might prove to be insignificant. At higher electric field stresses, inversion could facilitate the accumulation of space charge [1], but this seems unlikely in LV cables.
V. TEMPERATURE EFFECTS

Field inversion can be increased by increasing the temperature differences in the cable. To better demonstrate the effect, temperature boundary conditions were set on the conductors and sheath. The temperature difference was raised to 7.4 °C. Two studies were run, one DC and the other AC. In the DC study, conductors 1 and 2 were set at 325 V, and conductors 3 and 4 earthed. In the AC study, conductors 1, 2, and 4 were three phase at 230 V rms, and conductor 3 earthed.

The simplest geometrical cutline, shown in Figure 2, is used to illustrate results so only the phase to ground electric field is considered in this study. Figure 4 shows the results from the DC study. A variation of around 0.16 kV/mm between conductor and sheath is observed. The average is still at 0.27 kV/mm since the voltage and insulation thickness have not changed.

Figure 5 shows the results of the AC study. These cycles were taken after a sufficient time in order to reach temperature equilibrium. Six points on the first half crest of the waveforms are plotted ranging from 0 V to a peak of 325 V.

The graph shows the maximum electric field at 0.28 kV/mm at the conductor side due to geometry. What should be noticed is that under AC the electric field never reaches the maximum electric field seen under DC. Under DC there is a constant high electric field near the sheath whereas under AC is more uniform. The insulation under DC would be subjected to a continuously high field (polarity reversals being unlikely in the distribution network) whereas under AC it will continually reverse polarity. At these low voltages this might prove to be insignificant but in an aged cable, temperature variations could be great further enhancing the effect.

VI. EFFECTS OF IMPERFECTIONS

Imperfections in the insulation such as voids may cause the insulation to breakdown. In HV cables partial discharge is mainly responsible for this phenomenon [6]. The voids are gas filled thus having a lower dielectric constant. This causes a higher electric field inside the void. Air has a lower dielectric strength than the insulation therefore a partial breakdown occurs in the void. An elliptical void was introduced in the model with: an x-radius of 100 µm, a y-radius of 50 µm and a z-radius of 50 µm. Void geometry can greatly impact the local electric field. Studies on how different geometries enhance the field are well established [7]. This study concentrates on the enhancement due to different currents rather than different geometries.
The field created by the water ingress is still not high, but it may be significant in that it may drive moisture diffusion, and the divergence it introduces may drive dielectrophoresis. Because the cable insulation is not uniform physically more work is required to understand moisture diffusion [8, 9].

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

The simulation of the 4-core PILC cable has shown that the electric field distribution under DC is essentially different to that in the AC case. A field inversion occurs which could facilitate space charge accumulation but at these low voltages and low electric field stresses it is unlikely to be of great importance. Under AC, the effect of temperature variation between the conductor and sheath has no impact on the distribution of the electric field. Energising with DC results in a higher electric field towards the sheath, and so the insulation will not be uniformly stressed as in the AC case.

The presence of imperfections further cause enhancement in localised fields and will be higher under DC. Nonetheless the low voltages of a few hundred volts are insufficient to allow partial discharge by the classical models associated with high voltage cables. In the presence of water, DC will produce a greater electric field enhancement compared to AC. A key issue is whether such fields can drive moisture migration. Equally importantly when considering an existing network will be to consider the behaviour of existing joints in networks [10].
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